Appendix 12d

REPORT
to occupy the academic position:

"Professor"	-
"Associate Professor"	X
	one of the academic positions indicated shall be marked with the sign "X"

Candidates to occupy the position:

1	**Senior Assist.Prof.**	**PhD**	**Angelina**	**Georgieva**	**Terzieva**	**UCTM**
№	academic position	scientific degree	name	middle name	last name	workplace

Scientific area:

3	Social, Economic, and Legal Sciences
code	name

Professional area:

3.7.	Business Administration
code	name

Scientific specialty:

Economics and Management

The competition has been announced:

71	07/08/2026	Economics and Business Management	Faculty of Chemical and Systems Engineering
in SG issue	date	for the needs of the Department	Faculty

The report was written by:

Prof.	**Dr. eng.**	**Yordanka**	**St.**	**Angelova**	**TU-Sofia**
academic position	scientific degree	name	middle name	last name	workplace

A. **Report for the candidate:**

Senior Assist.Prof.	**PhD**	**Angelina**	**Georgieva**	**Terzieva**
academic position	scientific degree	name	middle name	last name

1.1. Meeting the minimum requirements under the Regulations:

A) The candidate meets the minimum requirements	20 points	X
B) The candidate doesn't meet the minimum requirements	0 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

It must be filled in if answer B is marked. The publication activity of the candidate is analyzed. The response of the results achieved (quoted) is analyzed.

1.2. Relevance of scientific and / or applied research:

A) The research is relevant. Part of the research is pioneering (no results are known on the topic by other authors)	8 points	
B) Research is relevant. Results from other authors are known for each of the topics and / or applications studied.	6 points	X
C) Most of the research is relevant, but also some results are presented that have no scientific and / or applied value	4 points	
D) The smaller part of the research is relevant	2 points	
E) Research is not relevant	0 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

The evaluation of the relevance of the research must be substantiated.
The research is relevant and significant within the various fields addressed by the author(s). The work cites and draws upon authors and regulatory sources that explore similar topics and are well-known in the field. This does not diminish the value of the publications.

1.3. Objectives of the research:

A) Realistic and of scientific and / or applied interest	8 points	X
B) Realistic, but not of scientific and / or applied interest	4 points	
C) Unattainable (unrealistic)	0 points	
		one of the answers given

		is marked with the sign „X“

Objectives must be specified. The type of the set objectives must be justified
The established goal and research objectives have been achieved in the scholarly work of Dr. Angelina Terzieva.

1.4. Candidate research contributions:

A) With lasting scientific and / or applied response, they form the basis for new research and applications	20 points	
B) They are of significant scientific and / or applied interest, complete and / or summarize previous research	16 points	X
C) They are of scientific and / or applied interest	12 points	
D) Lack of significant contributions	8 points	
E) Lack of contributions	0 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

Contributions must be specified. The type of results achieved must be justified.
The scientific-applied and applied contributions presented in these scholarly works focus primarily on issues related to enterprise organization and management, as well as on technological development and the refinement of production activities. Significant contributions are made through research that clarifies the nature of technology transfer and its importance for enhancing enterprise competitiveness, facilitating technological renewal and production modernization, driving investment activity, and identifying opportunities to secure European funding. The studies also address the role of education and vocational training in ensuring a skilled workforce for the „manufacture of textile apparel“ sector; the development of production programs and the organization of production processes; the regulatory framework governing commercial activities; and the requirements for the warehousing and storage of chemical substances and mixtures. Further scientific-applied results have been achieved in the analysis of foreign trade trends, the application of a cluster-based approach within manufacturing, and the creation of conditions for the effective commercialization of research outcomes.

1.5. Participation of the candidate in the achievement of the presented results:

A) The candidate has at least an equal participation in the submitted papers	8 points	X
B) The candidate has at least an equal participation in most of the submitted papers	7 points	

C) The candidate has a secondary participation in most of the submitted papers	4 points	
D) The candidate participation is unnoticeable	0 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

Critical notes must be provided if one of the items C or D is marked.

1.6 Pedagogical activity:

A) The candidate has effective and sufficient pedagogical activity at the university. The textbooks issued are modern and useful (they meet the requirements of the Regulations). The work with undergraduate and doctoral students is at a high professional level.	8 points	X
B) The candidate has sufficient pedagogical activity at the university. The textbooks issued satisfy the requirements of the Regulations.	6 points	
C) The pedagogical activity and / or textbooks issued are insufficient (do not meet the requirements of the Regulations)	0 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

Critical notes must be provided if one of the items B or C is marked.

1.7. Critical notes:

A) Lack of critical notes	8 points	
B) Critical notes of a technical nature	7 points	X
C) Critical notes that would partially improve the results achieved in a small part of the research	5 points	
D) Critical notes that would partially improve the results achieved in most of the research	3 points	
E) Significant critical notes	0 points	

		one of the answers given is marked with the sign „X“

Critical notes must be provided if one of the answers C, D or E is marked.
In her future work, I would recommend that Dr. Angelina Terzieva increase her publication activity in refereed and indexed journals, as well as her participation in collaborative national and international projects.

1.8. Conclusion

A) The evaluation of the candidate’s activity is **POSITIVE**	This evaluation is assigned to a total number of at least 50 points	X
B) The evaluation of the candidate’s activity is **NEGATIVE**	This evaluation is assigned to a total number below 50 points	
		one of the answers given is marked with the sign "X"

To be filled in if requested by the member of the scientific jury
Following a careful review of the materials and scholarly works submitted for the competition, it is evident that the candidate meets the minimum national requirements surpassing the mandatory 400 points with a personal contribution of 505 points. I therefore consider it appropriate to recommend to the esteemed Scientific Jury that Dr. Angelina Georgieva Terzieva be appointed to the academic position of "Associate Professor" in Professional Field 3.7 "Administration and Management," specializing in "Economics and Management."

30/10/2026	The report was written by:	
date	**Prof.Dr Eng. Yordanka Angelova**	signature